1 2 3 4 5 6 7	R. SCOTT ERLEWINE (State Bar No. 095106) FEATHER D. BARON (State Bar No. 252489) PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE & GIVEN LLP 50 California Street, 35th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-0900 Facsimile: (415) 398-0911 rse@phillaw.com fdb@phillaw.com Attorneys for Defendant NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION D/B/A AMTRAK
8 9 10 11 12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 14 15 16 17 18	KEVIN M. THOMPSON, Plaintiff, V. NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION dba AMTRAK Defendants. Case No: C 08-03280 CRB STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS; DECLARATION OF COUNSEL; ORDER Local Rule 6-2
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	The undersigned parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 that the hearing on the pending motion to dismiss filed by defendant National Railroad Passenger Corporation d/b/a Amtrak, currently set for March 27, 2009, be continued /// ///

PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE & GIVEN I.).P 50 California Street 35th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 398-0900

1	to April 24, 2009, with the deadlines to file opposition and reply papers corresponding to the new
2	hearing date.
3	
4	DATED: February 24, 2009 PHILLIPS, ERLEWING & GIVEN LLP
5	KAPI.
6	Ву:
7	R. Scott Erlewine Attorneys for Defendant National Railroad
8	Passenger Corporation, d/b/a Amtrak
9	
10	DATED: February 24, 2009
11	Daniel Berko Attorney for Plaintiff Kevin M Thompson
12	
13	DECLARATION OF COUNSEL
14	R. Scott Erlewine declares:
15	1. I am a partner with Phillips, Erlewine & Given LLP, counsel for defendant
16	National Railroad Passenger Corporation d/b/a Amtrak ("Amtrak") in this case. The matters
17	stated in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge.
18	2. The court has assigned this case to mediation, which was originally scheduled to
19	occur on February 23, 2009. In order to provide sufficient time for an exchange of informal
20	discovery, the mediation has been rescheduled to March 23, 2009.
21	3. Amtrak has pending a Motion to Dismiss the Sixth Claim For Relief in plaintiff's
22	Second Amended Complaint, which is currently scheduled for hearing on March 27, 2009.
23	4. In order to avoid the time and expense of plaintiff having to respond to Amtrak's
24	pending motion to dismiss prior to the mediation, the parties hereby request that the hearing on
25	the pending motion be continued to April 24, with the deadlines to file opposition and reply
26	papers corresponding to the new hearing date.
27	5. There has been one prior continuance of the hearing on the pending motion to

dismiss, which was made to accommodate the first mediation date. The requested further

continuance is to accommodate the re-scheduled mediation date. 1 2 The requested continuance will not affect the scheduling of this case. The court has set this matter for trial on November 2, 2009 and set a further case management conference 3 4 on April 24, 2009. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the 5 foregoing is true and correct. 6 DATED: February 24 7 8 R. SCOTT ERLEWINE 9 10 **ORDER** 11 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The hearing on defendant's 12 pending motion to dismiss be and is hereby continued from March 27, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. to 13 April 24, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. The deadlines to file and serve opposition and reply papers shall 14 correspond to the new hearing date. 15 DATED: February 26 , 2009 16 UNITERS IT IS SO ORDERED 17 18 Judge Charles R. Breyer 19 20 21 DISTRICT 22 23 24 25 26 27 28